UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOSE SANTIAGO,

Plaintiff,

Hon. Hugh B. Scott

v. 05CV32S

Order

CORRECTION OFFICER STAMP, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff in this action has applied to the Court for appointment of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) (Docket No. 5).

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), the Court may appoint counsel to assist indigent litigants.

Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Charles W. Sears Real Estate, Inc., 865 F.2d 22, 23 (2d Cir. 1988).

Assignment of counsel in this matter is clearly within the judge's discretion. See In re Martin
Trigona, 737 F.2d 1254 (2d Cir. 1986). The factors to be considered in deciding whether or not to assign counsel are set forth by the Second Circuit in Hodge v. Police Officers, 802 F.2d 58 (2d Cir. 1986). Counsel may be appointed in cases filed by indigent plaintiffs where it appears that such counsel will provide substantial assistance in developing petitioner's arguments, the appointment will otherwise serve the interests of justice, and where the litigant has made "a threshold showing of some likelihood of merit." Cooper v. A. Sargenti Co., 877 F.2d 170, 174 (2d Cir. 1989).

Case 1:05-cv-00032-WMS-HBS Document 15 Filed 12/06/05 Page 2 of 2

The Court has reviewed the facts presented herein in light of the factors required by law.

Based on this review, petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel is **DENIED WITHOUT**

PREJUDICE AT THIS TIME.

It remains the plaintiff's responsibility to retain his own attorney or to press forward with

his lawsuit pro se. 28 U.S.C. § 1654.

So Ordered.

s/HBS

Hon. Hugh B. Scott United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: Buffalo, New York

November 6, 2005

2